### **MEETING ABSTRACT**



**Open Access** 

# Hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia in extremely low-birth-weight infants

Maria Pia De Carolis<sup>1\*</sup>, Serena A Rubortone<sup>1</sup>, Carmen Cocca<sup>1</sup>, Giovanni Pinna<sup>1</sup>, Eloisa Tiberi<sup>1</sup>, Zecca Enrico<sup>1</sup>, Costantino Romagnoli<sup>1</sup>, Silvia Salvi<sup>2</sup>, Sara De Carolis<sup>2</sup>

*From* XXI Congress of the Italian Society of Neonatology Palermo, Italy. 24-26 September 2015

#### Background

Glucose metabolism disorders are common in extremely low birth weight (ELBW) infants and are associated with high morbidity and mortality [1-9]. This study was conducted to evaluate the prevalence and risk factors associated with both hypo and hyperglycemia in ELBW infants.

#### Materials and methods

All inborn ELBW neonates admitted to our NICU during a 5-year period were eligible for this retrospective analysis. Exclusion criteria were: birth weight (BW) <400 grams, major congenital malformations, death during the first 24 hours of life. Hypoglycemia was defined as blood glucose level (BGL) ≤45 mg/dL; hyperglycemia as BGL>240 mg/dL in a single determination or >180 mg/dL in two determinations at 2-hour intervals. Continuous intravenous insulin infusion was started after an ineffective glucose restriction.

#### Results

Of 195 ELBW infants, 29 (14.8%) were excluded and 166 (GA 26.7 2.1 weeks, BW 751 152 grams) were analyzed and grouped to their BGL. Normoglycemia was observed in 79 neonates (47.6%) (N-Group); 80 neonates (52.4%) showed abnormal BGL: 21 (12.7%) were hypoglycemic (Hypo-Group), 53 (31.9%) hyperglycemic (Hyper-Group) and 13 (7.8%) showed both hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia (Hypo&Hyper-Group). Clinical characteristics of the groups are reported in Table 1. Hypo-Group respect to N-Group showed a higher rate of small for gestational age (SGA) neonates (p=0.03).

\* Correspondence: mpia.decarolis@rm.unicatt.it

<sup>1</sup>Division of Neonatology, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Paediatrics, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, 00168, Italy Full list of author information is available at the end of the article Hyper-Group in comparison to N-Group showed a tendency toward a lower GA (p=0.05), lower BW (p<0.001), higher sepsis rate (p<0.001), higher rate of treatment with inotropic agents (p=0.02), corticosteroids (p=0.006) and nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (p=0.01). Hypo&Hyper-Group respect to N-Group showed similar GA, lower BW (p<0.001), higher sepsis rate (p<0.01), higher rate of inotropic treatment (p=0.04). Insulin was administered in 35 neonates (66%) of Hyper-Group and in 8 neonates (61.5%) of Hypo&Hyper-Group. Intraventricular Hemorrhage (IVH) rate was higher in Hyper-Group and Hypo&Hyper-Group respect to N-Group (p=0.002) as well as IVH grade3 (p=0.001 and p=0.02, respectively). The rate of both Retinopathy of Prematurity ( ROP) and ROP  $\geq$  stage 2 in survived neonates was higher in Hyper-Group respect to N-Group (p=0.008 and p=0.002, respectively). Mortality was similar among the groups (Table 2).

#### Conclusions

Among ELBW infants, hypoglycemia occurs more frequently in SGA neonates, while hyperglycemia alone or a marked variability of BGL (hypo and hyperglycaemia) is more common in sick neonates. High rate of glucose homeostasis disorders highlights the importance of carefully monitoring BGL in order to a prompt management. Continuous glucose monitoring recently used in neonates [10] might be a useful tool for monitoring glucose changes also in ELBW neonates.

#### Authors' details

<sup>1</sup>Division of Neonatology, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Paediatrics, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, 00168, Italy. <sup>2</sup>High Risk Pregnancies Division, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Paediatrics, Catholic University of Sacred Heart, Rome, 00168, Italy.



© 2015 Carolis et al. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/ zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

#### Table 1 Demographic data and risk factors in the study groups

	N-Group N=79	Hypo-Group N=21	Hyper-Group N=53	Hypo&Hyper-Group N=13
GA (wks), <i>mean±SD</i>	26.8±2.0	27.7±2.4	26.1±2.1	26.8±1.8
BW (g), mean±SD	808±136	719±140	695±146	692±140
Male, n (%)	17 (21.5)	10 (47.6)	26 (49.0)	8 (61.5)
SGA, n (%)	22 (27.8)	11 (52.3)	16 (30.1)	4 (30.7)
Apgar <sup>1</sup> <6, n (%)	39 (49.3)	9 (42.8)	34 (64.1)	8 (61.5)
Apgar <sup>5</sup> <6, n (%)	8 (10.1)	0	9 (16.9)	2 (15.3)
ntubation, n (%)	47 (59.4)	11 (52.3)	37 (69.8)	10 (76.9)
Antenatal Steroid, n (%)	64 (81.0)	17 (80.9)	41 (77.3)	12 (92.3)
RDS, n (%)	66 (83.5)	19 (90.4)	49 (92.4)	13 (100)
Sepsis, n (%)	16 (20.2)	4 (19.0)	32 (60.3)	7 (53.8)
notropic Agents, n (%)	26 (32.9)	6 (28.5)	28 (52.8)	8 (61.5)
Xanthines, n (%)	70 (88.6)	20 (95.2)	50 (94.3)	13 (100)
Postnatal Steroid, n (%)	11 (13.9)	3 (14.2)	18 (33.9)	3 (23.0)
NEC, n (%)	6 (7.5)	2 (9.5)	5 (9.4)	4 (30.7)
Medical treatment for PDA, n (%)	34 (43.0)	8 (38.0)	34 (64.1)	9 (69.2)
Surgical Procedures, n (%)	5 (6.3)	3 (14.2)	7 (13.2)	2 (3.7)

RDS: Respiratory Distress Syndrome; PDA: Patent Ductus Arteriosus; NEC: Necrotizing enterocolitis

#### Table 2 Complications and outcome in the study groups

	N-Group N=79	Hypo-Group N=21	Hyper-Group N=53	Hypo&Hyper-Group N=13
IVH, n (%)	17 (21.5)	7 (33.3)	25 (47.1)	8 (61.5)
IVH (grade 3, n (%)	5 (6.3)	1 (4.7)	15 (28.3)	4 (30.7)
ROP all stages in the survivors, n (%)	49 of 61 (80.3)	10 of 16 (62.5)	35 of 35 (100)	7 of 8 (87.5)
ROP > stage 2 in the survivors, n (%)	35 of 71 (57.3)	9 of 16 (56.2)	35 of 35 (100)	6 of 8 (75)
Mortality, n (%)	18 (22.7)	5 (23.8)	18 (33.9)	6 (46.1)

IVH: IntraventricularHemorrhage; ROP: Retinopathy of Prematurity

#### Published: 24 September 2015

#### References

- Lucas A, Morley R, Cole TJ: Adverse neurodevelopmental outcome of moderate neonatal hypoglycaemia. *British Med J* 1988, 297:1304-1308.
- Alkalay AL, Sarnat HB, Flores-Sarnat L, Simmons CF: Neurologic aspects of neonatal hypoglycemia. Isr Med Assoc J 2005, 7(3):188-192, Review. Erratum in: Isr Med Assoc J. 2005;7(4):267.
- Duvanel CB, Fawer CL, Cotting J, Hohlfeld P, Matthieu JM: Long-term effects of neonatal hypoglycemia on brain growth and psychomotor development in small-for-gestational-age preterm infants. J Pediatr 1999, 134:492-498.
- Kao LS, Morris BH, Lally KP, Stewart CD, Huseby V, Kennedy KA: Hyperglycemia and morbidity and mortality in extremely low birth weight infants. J Perinatol 2006, 26:730-736.
- Hays SP, Smith EO, Sunehag AL: Hyperglycemia is a risk factor for early death and morbidity in extremely low birth-weight infants. *Pediatrics* 2006, 118:1811-1818.
- Garg R, Agathe AG, Donohue PK, Lehmann CU: Hyperglycemia and retinopathy of prematurity in very low birth weight infants. J Perinatol 2003, 23:186-194.
- Au SC, Tang SM, Rong SS, Chen LJ, Yam JC: Association between hyperglycemia and retinopathy of prematurity: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep* 2015, 5:9091.
- Sabzehei MK, Afjeh SA, Shakiba M, Alizadeh P, Shamshiri AR, Esmaili F: Hyperglycemia in VLBW infants; incidence, risk factors and outcome. Arch Iran Med 2014, 17(6):429-434.
- Auerbach A, Eventov-Friedman S, Arad I, Peleg O, Bdolah-Abram T, Bar-Oz B, Zangen DH: Long duration of hyperglycemia in the first 96 hours of life is associated with severe intraventricularhemorrhage in preterm infants. J Pediatr 2013, 163(2):388-393.

 Signal M, Le Compte A, Harris DL, Weston PJ, Harding JE, Chase JG, CHYLD Study Group: Using Stochastic modelling to identify unusual continuous glucose monitor measurements and behaviour, in newborn infants. *Biomed Eng Online* 2012, 11:45.

#### doi:10.1186/1824-7288-41-S1-A7

**Cite this article as:** De Carolis *et al*: **Hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia in extremely low-birth-weight infants**. *Italian Journal of Pediatrics* 2015 **41**(Suppl 1):A7.

## Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit