Open Access

Role of ursodeoxycholic acid in neonatal indirect hyperbilirubinemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Glen Lazarus¹¹⁰, Jerrell Francie¹, Rosalina Dewi Roeslani²¹⁰, Siti Rizny Fitriana Saldi³¹⁰ and Hanifah Oswari^{1*}¹⁰

Abstract

Background: Neonatal jaundice is a transitional phenomenon affecting three out of five full-term newborns globally. Ursodeoxycholic acid could be beneficial in neonatal jaundice needing phototherapy.

Methods: We searched PubMed, EBSCO, ProQuest, and Cochrane Library up to August 21st, 2021, for articles to be reviewed. Meta-analysis using random-effects model was performed.

Results: Eight studies involving 1116 neonates were chosen in this review; however, only five studies were included for meta-analysis. Phototherapy duration was significantly lower in the interventional group with high heterogeneities. Subgroup analysis of the phototherapy duration based on the risk of bias resulted in a shorter duration (mean difference (MD) = -17.82; 95% CI = -20.17 to -15.47; p = < 0.001) with low heterogeneity in the treatment group. Secondary outcome focusing on mean total serum bilirubin showed a lower mean total serum bilirubin in 48 h posttreatment (MD = -0.43; 95% CI = -0.64 to -0.22; p = < 0.0001) with low heterogeneities in Asian countries."

Conclusions: Ursodeoxycholic acid might be considered as a novel adjuvant therapy in neonatal indirect hyperbilirubinemia to shorten the phototherapy duration and lower the mean total serum bilirubin.

Keywords: Neonatal jaundice, Neonatal hyperbilirubinemia, Ursodiol, URSODEOXYCHOLIC ACID, Phototherapy

Introduction

Neonatal jaundice is a condition of hyperbilirubinemia that manifests as a yellowish discoloration of the skin, sclera, and mucous membranes in the first week of life. This transitional phenomenon affects at least three out of five full-term newborns [1, 2]. Hyperbilirubinemia itself can cause neurotoxicity by influencing central nervous system development [3, 4]. Treatment is primarily focused on using phototherapy or exchange transfusion

*Correspondence: hanifah.oswari@ui.ac.id

as indicated to the individual's bilirubin level according to their postnatal age [5–7]. Some side effects from phototherapy include interrupted maternal-neonatal interaction, water loss, electrolyte imbalance, and bronze baby syndrome; while exchange transfusion may cause thrombocytopenia, hypocalcemia, and hypokalemia [8, 9].

Novel adjuvant treatments in neonatal indirect hyperbilirubinemia are needed to increase bilirubin clearance, decrease phototherapy duration, and decrease exchange transfusion rate. Studies have shown that baby massage, intravenous fluid supplementation, several agents like fenofibrate and zinc sulfate could help ameliorate neonatal hyperbilirubinemia [10-13], although some of these options are not helpful in the acute phase because they



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ficenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

¹ Gastrohepatology Division, Department of Child Health, Faculty of Medicine, Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

need four days to show benefit on bilirubin concentration [10] or had no significant impact on phototherapy duration [11, 13]. Ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA), or ursodiol, is a bile acid commonly used to manage cholestatic liver disease [14-16]. UDCA helps in improving endogenous bile secretion, displacement of more toxic components of endogenous bile acids, and reducing enterohepatic circulation. UDCA also exerts neuroprotective and hepatoprotective properties through its anti-apoptotic, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant effects [17-20]. UDCA has also been investigated for its possible role in indirect hyperbilirubinemia. One randomized clinical trial by Honar et al. revealed that UDCA could reduce the duration of phototherapy, hospitalization period, and the mean bilirubin in the intervention group was significantly lower than the control group [21]. Another trial by Mirzarahimi et al. showed that the addition of UDCA provided no significant difference compared to phototherapy alone [22].

We performed a systematic review of randomized clinical trials to investigate the possible role of ursodeoxycholic acid in treating neonatal indirect hyperbilirubinemia because previous studies had shown conflicting results [21, 22]. Meta-analysis will also be done to pool and evaluate the existing evidence.

Methods

We followed the checklist recommended by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flow diagram (PRISMA) guidelines [23]. The protocol was registered on PROSPERO (registration number: CRD42021266663).

Types of studies

We included randomized clinical trial studies regarding the role of ursodeoxycholic acid in the cases of neonatal jaundice. Observational studies, case series and fulltext articles using a language other than English were excluded from this systematic review.

Types of participants

Term neonates (born \geq 37 weeks) younger than 14 days old were included. Studies with preterm neonates, sepsis, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency, rhesus incompatibility, infants of diabetic mothers, cholestasis, and congenital anomalies were excluded.

Type of intervention and control

Studies using UDCA at any dose and duration in addition to routine phototherapy for pathological neonatal jaundice that was compared to control (routine phototherapy only) were included. Phototherapy was done when the total serum bilirubin exceeded the line indicated for the neonate's age [7].

Types of outcomes

The primary outcome is the phototherapy duration needed to reach the desirable bilirubin level (in hours). The secondary outcomes include exchange transfusion rate (as percentage or number of patients); side effects (including diarrhea or vomiting; reported as percentage or number of patients); and the serum bilirubin level per 12 h (as mean bilirubin level and/or mean changes of bilirubin level compared to the baseline, reported in mg/dL).

We searched the following databases: PubMed, EBSCO, ClinicalTrials.gov, and Cochrane Library (from inception to August 21st, 2021). The keywords used included variations for the spelling of ursodeoxycholic acid and neonatal jaundice. Further searches were done from the reference lists of included studies. Search terms for Pub-Med are provided in Supplementary Table 1.

Selection of studies

Two researchers (GL and JF) independently screened the titles and abstracts from each database. Selected articles were independently read for full-text review and reviewed for eligibility. Disagreements were solved through consultation with a third member of the review team (HO).

Data extraction

The following information were extracted: author, number of patients, age at admission, female gender, weight, etc. All the data were independently extracted and entered in Microsoft Excel spreadsheets (GL, JF), compared and differences resolved by discussion, or if required, consultation with a third member of the review team (HO). We contacted the corresponding authors of the included studies by email to confirm the missing data or methodological information, had they not been reported sufficiently in the studies.

Study risk of bias assessment

Two authors (GL and JF) evaluated the risk of bias of each included study by using the Cochrane RCT riskof-bias tool using Review Manager (RevMan) Software version 5.4 [24]. When two authors disagreed, a third reviewer was involved until a consensus was reached. For this review, we consider randomization as a key element in assessing the overall risk of bias.

Synthesis methods

We performed a meta-analysis with Review Manager (RevMan) Software version 5.4 from Cochrane Collaboration using the random-effects model. Heterogeneity between studies was assessed using the χ^2 test and the amount of variation was estimated by calculating the I^2 . Heterogeneity with p < 0.05 and $I^2 > 50\%$ were considered heterogeneous. Subgroup analyses were then performed if there was a need to investigate the possible source of heterogeneity.

Certainty assessment

The quality of the body of evidence that contributes data to the meta-analyses was assessed using the GRADE methodology (GRADEpro, Version 20. McMaster University, 2014).The certainty level for each body of evidence will be presented in Supplementary Table 3.

Results

Studies included in systematic review and meta-analysis

A detailed PRISMA flow diagram for the study selection process is shown inFig. 1. We initially retrieved 508 studies from four databases and other sources, 53 articles were excluded based on duplicated articles, 429 articles were excluded based on title and abstract that did not contain data on UDCA and neonatal hyperbilirubinemia, review articles, and conference abstracts with no abstract mentioning UDCA and neonatal jaundice. Another 18 articles were excluded after reading the full text, eight of which were clinical trial protocols with no result reported, seven articles were clinical trial protocols with results already reported in the included studies, two studies included patients with our exclusion criteria (sepsis), and one study with irregular data that couldn't be followed up with the corresponding author. Consequently, eight studies were included in the systematic review [21, 25–31]. The characteristics of the included studies are displayed in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

Three studies included neonates younger than 14 days old as the inclusion criteria [28, 29, 31], two studies reported their age range was 3–7 days old [21, 26], two studies reported their mean age was 4.9–5.91 days [25, 27], and one study did not report patient's mean age [30]. All eight articles were randomized clinical



		UDCA supplen	nentation group	(UDCA + photo	therapy)			Control group	o (phototherap)	y only)		
8 N	Author	Number of participants	Mean age (SD)	Female (n)	Weight (g)	TSB (mg/dL)	Therapy	Number of participants	Mean age (SD)	Female (n)	Weight (g)	TSB (mg/dL)
-	Akefi [25]	110	5.3 (2.9)	62	1	16.8 (2.4)	UDCA 10 mg/ kg/d	110	4.9 (2.1)	52	1	15.7 (2.5)
2	El-Gendy [26]	50	4,9 (1.44)	20	I	16.5 (1.51)	UDCA 1*	50	4.86 (1.6)	24	I	16.4 (1.57)
m	Gharehbaghi [27]	80 (A=40, B=40)	A=5.91 (2.49), B=5.1 (2.47)	A=18, B=16	A=3175.41 (561.53), B=2961.71 (440.03)	A=19.88 (2.33), B=19.33 (2.51)	A= UDCA 1* B= UDCA 2*	40	5.91 (2.49)	18	3192.91 (431.02)	19.76 (2.64)
4	Hassan [<mark>28</mark>]	100	5.4 (1.4)	44	3.2 (0.4) (in kg)	16.3 (1.7)	UDCA 1*	1 00	5.3 (1.5)	49	3.1 (0.4) (in kg)	16.5 (2.9)
Ś	Honar [21]	40	3.7 (1)	21	2970 (292)	15.9 (1.7)	UDCA 1*	40	3.6 (1)	22	2985 (312)	16.3 (1.5)
Q	Jafari [29]	64 (A=32, B=32)	I	I	I	A=16.47 (1.65), B=16.22 (1.67)	A= UDCA 1* B= UDCA 3*	32	I	I	I	16.64 (1.72)
\sim	Meena [30]	50	I	19	2.73 (0.23)	16.33 (1.58)	UDCA 1*	50	I	17	2.69 (0.41)	15.6 (2.78)
00	Shahramian [3 1]	100	I	47	I	I	UDCA 2*	100	I	48	I	I
UDC.	A 1* UDCA 10 mg/k	cg/day divided ever	ry 12 h, <i>UDCA 2</i> * UD	CA 15 mg/kg/day	divided every 12 h	, UDCA 3* UDCA 2	0 mg/kg/day divide	ed every 12 h				

 Table 1
 Characteristics of included studies (Part 1)

No	Author	PT duration	TSB 12 h (mg/dL)	TSB 24 h (mg/dL)	TSB 36 h (mg/dL)	TSB 48 h (mg/dL)	Side effects	Exchange transfusion	Other outcomes reported
1	Akefi [25]	+	+	+	-	_	+	-	Total bilirubin level reduction (com- pared to baseline)
2	El-Gendy [26]	+	-	+	_	+	+	-	Mean total bilirubin at 72- and 96-h of treatment, duration of phototherapy, duration of admission
3	Gharehbaghi [27]	_	-	_	_	_	+	_	Indirect bilirubin at 6-, 12-, 24-, 48-h, and discharge, mean length of hos- pital stay
4	Hassan [28]	+	+	+	+	-	+	_	Total of patients still needing phototherapy at 36- and 48-h after treatment
5	Honar [21]	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-
6	Jafari [29]	-	-	_	-	_	+	-	Mean total serum bilirubin (TSB) and mean TSB difference at 8-, 16-h post treatment, and final visit, total of patients with TSB returned to normal at 8-, 16-, 24-, and 36-h post treatment
7	Meena [30]	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	Mean difference between the two groups at 12-, 24-, 36-, and 48-h post treatment
8	Shahramian [31]	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	Ratio of neonates reaching TSB < 10 mg/dL, changes of TSB, changes of direct bilirubin levels at 24-, 48-, and 72-h from birth

Table 2 Characteristics of included studies (Part 2). Outcomes reported

TSB Total serum bilirubin, PT Phototherapy

Table 3 Characteristics of included studies (Part 3). Additional data

		UDCA supplem (UDCA + photo	entation group therapy)	Control group (phototherapy only)		
No	Author	Phototherapy duration (hours)	Last mean bilirubin reported (mg/dL)	Phototherapy duration (hours)	Last mean bilirubin reported (mg/dL)	Type of phototherapy lamp	Thresholds for phototherapy
1	Akefi [25]	34.6 (16.3)	_	35.7 (18.2)	_	Simple photo- therapy	Standard curve
2	El-Gendy [<mark>26</mark>]	65.2 (12.8)	9.42 (0.82) (96 h)	82.5 (19.4)	10.5 (1.35) (96 h)	Single PT	-
3	Gharehbaghi [27]	29.47 (16.8)	4.75 (1.07) (dis- charge)*	45.97 (18.01)	7.88 (2.11) (dis- charge)*	LED	AAP guidelines, ter- mination: decrease in TSB < 50% exchange threshold or TSB < 10 mg/dl
4	Hassan [28]	23.2 (5.6)	7.6 (0.9) (36 h)	41.1 (7.2)	9.1 (0.8) (48 h)	LED	-
5	Honar [21]	15.5 (6)	9.8 (0.2) (48 h)	44.6 (13.3)	10.1 (1.1) (48 h)	Daylight fluorescent	Until TSB < 10 mg/dL
6	Jafari [29]	-	14.61 (2.29) (16 h)	-	12.58 (2.25) (36 h)	-	Until TSB normalised
7	Meena [30]	-	9.48 (0.68) (48 h)	-	9.99 (0.66) (48 h)	LED	Until TSB < 10 mg/dL
8	Shahramian [31]	-	-	-	-	Daylight fluorescent	Until TSB < 10 mg/dL

TSB Total serum bilirubin, PT Phototherapy

*Reported as indirect bilirubin in mg/dL

trials done in various countries (four studies in Iran, two studies in India, one study in Egypt, and one study in Iraq). They were all published between 2015 and July 2021, comparing outcomes between intervention groups using UDCA in addition to phototherapy and control groups using phototherapy only. Due to different reported outcomes, three studies were not included in the meta-analysis. The outcomes were: indirect bilirubin (not total serum bilirubin) [27], incomplete total serum bilirubin reported (not measured after reaching normal value) [29], and changes of direct bilirubin [31]. The summary of these three studies' findings is presented in Table 4.

The risk of bias was low to moderate for all studies (See Fig. 2). Randomization was done in all studies, but three studies did not specify their randomization method [21, 28, 29]. Six out of eight studies showed an unclear selection bias because of not having detailed allocation concealment. Reporting bias was also detected in four studies [21, 25, 27]. Attempts to contact the corresponding authors via email on the studies mentioned above and those with incomplete data were made, but there had been no reply. A supporting explanation for the risk of bias assessment is provided in Supplementary Table 2.

Primary outcomes

Figure 3 showed that adding UDCA could result in a lower duration of phototherapy than control groups (mean difference (MD) = -16.36 h; 95% CI = -26.21 to -6.51; p = 0.001) with significant heterogeneity ($I^2 = 96\%$, p = < 0.00001) and low certainty evidence (downgraded due to inconsistency and imprecision). Subgroup analysis for phototherapy duration based on Asian countries also showed a high heterogeneity ($I^2 = 97\%$, p < 0.00001), while subgroup analysis based on the risk of bias showed that adding UDCA in El-Gendy et al. [26] and Hassan et al. [28] studies had a significantly lower duration of phototherapy (mean difference (MD) = -17.82 h; 95% CI = -20.17 to -15.47; p = < 0.001) with a low heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$, p = 0.86) and a high certainty level (See Fig. 4).



Author	Summary
Gharehbaghi [27]	- UCB levels at 6-, 12-, 24-, 48-h, and discharge were lower in patients receiving UDCA than control group ($p < 0.05$) - UCB levels at 6-, 12-, 24-, and 48-h were lower in patients receiving higher doses of UDCA (15 mg/kg/day vs 10 mg/kg/day) ($p < 0.05$) - Mean length of hospital stay was 29.47 ± 16.8 h (group receiving UDCA 10 mg/kg/day), 21.35 ± 8.12 h (group receiving UDCA 15 mg/kg/day), and 45.97 ± 18.01 h (control group) ($p < 0.05$)
Jafari [29]	 Mean TSB after 8 h was significantly different between three groups (control vs group receiving UDCA 10 mg/kg/day vs group receiving UDCA 20 mg/kg/day) (p < 0.05) Mean TSB difference was significant after 8 h of therapy in control group versus intervention group Phototherapy could be stopped at 16 h post treatment in 56% (control group), 97% (group receiving UDCA 10 mg/kg/day), and 100% (group receiving UDCA 20 mg/kg/day)
Shahramian [31]	- The ratio of neonates reaching TSB < 10 mg/dL was higher in the phototherapy + UDCA group at 48-, and 72-h after birth (ρ < 0.05) - Mean TSB differences at 48- and 72-h after birth were higher in phototherapy + UDCA group (ρ < 0.05) - Changes of direct bilirubin were no significant during 48- and 72-h in both groups

Table 4 Results summar	y of studies not included in meta-analys
------------------------	--

	U	DCA		Pla	cebo			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean [Hours]	SD [Hours]	Total	Mean [Hours]	SD [Hours]	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
1.5.1 Non-Asian cou	Intries								
El-Gendy 2019 Subtotal (95% CI)	65.2	12.8	50 50	82.5	19.4	50 50	23.7% 23.7%	-17.30 [-23.74, -10.86] - 17.30 [-23.74, -10.86]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap	oplicable								
Test for overall effect	Z = 5.26 (P < 0)	.00001)							
1.5.2 Asian countries	s								
Akefi 2020	34.6	16.3	110	35.7	18.2	110	25.0%	-1.10 [-5.67, 3.47]	
Hassan 2015	23.2	5.6	100	41.1	7.2	100	26.2%	-17.90 [-19.69, -16.11]	-
Honar 2015 Subtotal (95% CI)	15.5	6	40 250	44.6	13.3	40 250	25.0% 76.3%	-29.10 [-33.62, -24.58] -16.06 [-28.61, -3.51]	*
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 119.14; $Chi^2 =$	74.95, df =	2 (P < 0	0.00001 ; $I^2 = 97$	7%				-
Test for overall effect	2 = 2.51 (P = 0)	.01)							
Total (95% CI)			300			300	100.0%	-16.36 [-26.21, -6.51]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect Test for subgroup dif	= 95.54; Chi ² = 7 : Z = 3.26 (P = 0 ferences: Chi ² =	74.95, df = 3 0.001) 0.03, df = 1	(P < 0.) (P = 0.)	00001); I ² = 969 86), I ² = 0%	6			-	-50 -25 0 25 50 Favours UDCA Favours Placebo
ig. 3 Forest plot f	or the meta-a	nalysis of o	duratio	on of phototh	nerapy				

	ι	JDCA		Pl	acebo			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
El-Gendy 2019	65.2	12.8	50	82.5	19.4	50	13.3%	-17.30 [-23.74, -10.86]	
Hassan 2015	23.2	5.6	50	41.1	7.2	50	86.7%	-17.90 [-20.43, -15.37]	
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect	= 0.00; 0 : Z = 14	Chi ² = .84 (P	100 0.03, c < 0.00	lf = 1 (F 001)	P = 0.8	100 87); I ² =	100.0% 0%	-17.82 [-20.17, -15.47]	-20 -10 0 10 20 Favours UDCA Favours Placebo
Fig. 4 Forest plot for the	ne meta	-analy	sis of c	duratior	n of pł	notothe	erapy bas	ed on risk of bias	

	U	DCA		Pla	acebo			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean [mg/dL]	SD [mg/dL]	Total	Mean [mg/dL]	SD [mg/dL]	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
1.2.1 Asian countries	;								
Akefi 2020	10.82	2.1	110	10.97	2.3	110	20.5%	-0.15 [-0.73, 0.43]	
Hassan 2015	8.8	1.1	100	13.2	5.8	100	17.9%	-4.40 [-5.56, -3.24]	_ - _
Honar 2015	10	1.1	40	12.5	1.4	40	20.6%	-2.50 [-3.05, -1.95]	
Meena 2020 Subtotal (95% CI)	11.78	1.407	50 300	12.47	1.55	50 300	20.5% 79.6%	-0.69 [-1.27, -0.11] -1.87 [-3.40, -0.35]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	2.27; Chi ² = 64 Z = 2.41 (P = 0.	.56, df = 3 (P .02)	< 0.00	001); I ² = 95%					
El-Gendy 2019 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	ntries 13.6 plicable z Z = 2.90 (P = 0.	1.47	50 50	14.5	1.63	50 50	20.4% 20.4%	-0.90 [-1.51, -0.29] - 0.90 [-1.51, -0.29]	
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diff	= 1.66; $Chi^2 = 66$ Z = 2.77 (P = 0. ferences: $Chi^2 = 3$.65, df = 4 (P 006) 1.35, df = 1 (F	350 < 0.00 P = 0.2	001); I ² = 94% 5), I ² = 25.9%		350	100.0%	-1.66 [-2.83, -0.48]	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours UDCA Favours Placebo
ig. 5 Forest plot fo	or the meta-ar	halysis of TS	B at 3	6 h					

Study or Subaroup	U Mean [mg/dl]	DCA	Total	Pla Mean [mg/dl]	acebo SD [mg/dl]	Total	Weight	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
	incan [mg/uL]	JD [IIIg/dL]	Total	Mean [mg/uL]	JD [IIIg/uL]	Total	14.50		1V; Kandolii, 55% Ci
EI-Gendy 2019	10.9	1.9	50	12.2	2.34	50	14.5%	-1.30 [-2.14, -0.46]	
Honar 2015	9.8	0.2	40	10.1	1.1	40	39.3%	-0.30 [-0.65, 0.05]	
Meena 2020	9.48	0.68	50	9.99	0.66	50	46.2%	-0.51 [-0.77, -0.25]	-
Total (95% CI)			140			140	100.0%	-0.54 [-0.91, -0.18]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.06; $Chi^2 = 4.7$	79, df = 2 (P =	• 0.09);	$I^2 = 58\%$					
rest for overall effect	2 = 2.51 (1 = 0.5)	.004)							Favours UDCA Favours Placebo
Fig. 6 Forest plot f	or the meta-a	nalysis of TS	SB at 4	18 h					



Secondary outcomes

TSB comparison between intervention and control groups using the random-effect model was presented in Figs. 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9. Statistically significant results were found from meta-analyses comparing TSB in UDCA and control groups at 24 h (MD = -1.66 mg/dL; 95% CI = -2.83 to -0.48; p = 0.006) with high heterogeneity $(I^2 = 94\%, p < 0.00001, Fig. 5)$ and 48 h (MD = -0.54 mg/ dL; 95% CI = -0.91 to -0.18; p = 0.004) with moderate heterogeneity ($I^2 = 58\%$, p = 0.09, Fig. 6), while metaanalyses at 12 h (MD = -1.10 mg/dL; 95% CI = -2.96 to0.77; p = 0.25, Fig. 7) and 36 h (MD = -1.59 mg/dL; 95% CI = -3.58 to 0.40; p = 0.12, Fig. 8) showed nonsignificant mean TSB difference between the two groups. GRADE assessment for all outcomes (TSB at 12-, 24-, 36-, and 48-h post treatment) was low certainty (downgraded due to inconsistency and imprecision), while subgroup analysis at the 48 h for Asian countries resulted in a moderate certainty evidence (downgraded due to imprecision). Subgroup analysis for TSB at 12- and 36-h post treatment was not done because all the studies were from Asian countries, while subgroup analysis for TSB at 24-h post treatment also showed high heterogeneity ($I^2 = 95\%$, p < 0.00001).

Two studies [25, 31] also described the mean changes of serum bilirubin between interventional and control groups. Significantly faster bilirubin clearance in the group using UDCA could be seen in a study by Akefi et al. [25] on the 12 h after phototherapy started (3.7 mg/ dL vs 2.7 mg/dL, p = 0.001) and Shahramian et al. [31] on the 48 and 72 h post-treatment. While data on 24 h after phototherapy started showed higher mean TSB difference in the UDCA group, although this finding was not statistically significant in Shahramian et al. study. Subgroup analyses were performed to investigate study heterogeneity. Subgroup analyses based on sex were not conducted because the data were unavailable. Based on the data available of the included studies, subgroup analyses were conducted to separate studies done in Asian countries and non-Asian countries. Stratifying by Asian and non-Asian countries, neonates receiving UDCA in addition to phototherapy had lower mean TSB at 48 h than the control group in Asian countries [21, 30] (mean difference (MD)=-0.43 mg/dL; 95% CI=-0.64 to -0.22; p = <0.0001) with low heterogeneities (p = 0.34, $I^2 = 0$ %) (See Fig. 9).

The observation from six studies showed that there are no side effects of UDCA administration (e.g. diarrhea and vomiting) [21, 25, 26, 28–30] and one study stated that the diarrhea in the UDCA group was seen more often but not significantly more than in the control group [27]. No study examined the effect of adding UDCA to phototherapy on the exchange transfusion rate.

Discussion

Previous studies had shown conflicting results on phototherapy duration and TSB, which could be caused by other factors influencing the phototherapy's effectiveness that unfortunately were not described completely in the included studies. A recent meta-analysis by Kuitunen et al. showed that adding UDCA to usual therapy resulted in bigger TSB reduction and faster phototherapy duration but the result showed high heterogeneities among the studies, thus making it difficult to interpret. Our review showed that adding UDCA as an adjuvant to the phototherapy of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia resulted in a lower phototherapy duration and faster TSB decline.

Our meta-analysis showed that adding UDCA could result in 17.82 (15.47 – 20.17) hours of faster



	U	DCA		Pla	acebo			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean [mg/dL]	SD [mg/dL]	Total	Mean [mg/dL]	SD [mg/dL]	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
1.4.1 Asian countries									
Honar 2015	9.8	0.2	40	10.1	1.1	40	39.3%	-0.30 [-0.65, 0.05]	
Meena 2020 Subtotal (95% CI)	9.48	0.68	50 90	9.99	0.66	50 90	46.2% 85.5%	-0.51 [-0.77, -0.25] - 0.43 [-0.64, -0.22]	₩
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	0.00; Chi ² = 0.9 Z = 4.06 (P < 0.	0, df = 1 (P = 0001)	0.34);	$I^2 = 0\%$					
1.4.2 Non-Asian cour	ntries								
El-Gendy 2019 Subtotal (95% CI)	10.9	1.9	50 50	12.2	2.34	50 50	14.5% 14.5%	-1.30 [-2.14, -0.46] -1.30 [-2.14, -0.46]	•
Heterogeneity: Not app Test for overall effect:	plicable Z = 3.05 (P = 0.	002)							
Total (95% CI)			140			140	100.0%	-0.54 [-0.91, -0.18]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.06; $Chi^2 = 4.7$	9, df = 2 (P =	0.09);	$I^2 = 58\%$				-	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.91 (P = 0.	004)							Favours UDCA Favours Placebo
Test for subgroup diff	erences: Chi ² = 3	8.89, df = 1 (P	= 0.05	5), I ² = 74.3%					
ig.9 Forest plot fo	or the meta-ar	nalysis of TS	B at 2	4 hours					

phototherapy duration than the control group. Two studies [21, 28] showed up to halved reductions of phototherapy duration compared to other studies, which could be caused by different exclusion criteria and different light intensity or spectral emission that was not described. Subgroup analysis based on the risk of bias was done in order to lower the heterogeneity between the studies on phototherapy duration outcome. Lowering the phototherapy duration might lower the phototherapy exposure, side effects, hospitalization, and cost [32]. Phototherapy may have some side effects, but some of these are more common in conventional phototherapy devices, for example by using fluorescent tubes [33]. Therefore, providing the information of phototherapy device used in the randomized trials is important to make sure future adjunctive therapy recommendations are properly made. Unfortunately, some studies didn't provide the lamp details [25, 26, 30] so it was not possible to do a subgroup analysis based on what phototherapy lamp used.

Our secondary outcome found that the addition of UDCA to the standard phototherapy resulted in a lower mean TSB in 24- and 48-h post-treatment with high heterogeneities. Subgroup analyses revealed that Asian and non-Asian countries (particularly African) influenced the effect of UDCA in neonatal indirect hyperbilirubinemia in the 48-h post-treatment (mean difference (MD) = -0.33; 95% CI = -0.60 to -0.07; p = 0.01) with lower heterogeneities (p=0.19, $I^2=39\%$). This difference may be attributed to the different bilirubin metabolism genes and different melanosome characteristics that could contribute to higher TSB. Melanosomes in dark skin are larger and more heavily pigmented, which could reduce the penetration of light [34-36]. It should also be highlighted that some studies [21, 30, 31] only test their serum bilirubin until below 10 mg/dL, causing the serum bilirubin reported was around this cut-off and previously already normal neonates were not included in the next blood tests anymore. Insignificant statistic power of UDCA intervention in neonatal indirect hyperbilirubinemia on the 36 h post-treatment (p=0.20) could be caused by the different amount of study included. There were only two studies that examined total serum bilirubin levels in the 36 h post-treatment [28, 30]. It is interesting to note that all of the RCTs included had been performed in lower- or middle-income countries (LMICs), which is in accordance with the fact that they have higher neonatal jaundice's prevalence and mortality that could be caused by limited access to diagnostic evaluation, conventional treatment, and inadequate phototherapy devices' function [36, 37].

The role of UDCA in reducing UCB could be explained by a study by Cuperus et al. [38]. The study showed that UDCA administration trapped UCB that was excreted to the intestinal lumen via direct diffusion during indirect hyperbilirubinemia condition. The efficiency of UCB's direct diffusion to the intestinal lumen was decreased by several things. First, neonates have insufficient intestinal anaerobic flora, even though these floras could help in reducing the UCB entering the enterohepatic circulation by converting it to urobilinoids. Second, neonatal liver and intestinal immaturity could result in slower removal of bilirubin. The UCB burden is also increased by breast milk β -glucuronidase activity, which deconjugates the intestinal direct bilirubin back to UCB [39-41]. The administration of UDCA could bind the UCB content in intestinal lumen, preventing it from entering the enterohepatic circulation, and increasing its disposal through faeces (see Fig. 10) [38].

The varied locations, methods, risk of bias, and reporting methods could be considered the major limitation from this review, which could result in significant heterogeneities among the meta-analysis results, but these issues were solved by doing subgroup analyses. Although our primary outcome showed a high certainty level,



there are still many other outcomes with low certainty. Future studies should focus and provide complete data on: phototherapy details (duration, tools, intensity, etc.), monitoring side effects (both from the administration of UDCA and the phototherapy), exchange transfusion rate, and others."

Conclusion

Our meta-analysis suggests that the addition of ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) to phototherapy could reduce phototherapy duration by almost 18 h compared to phototherapy only in low risk of bias studies. It also resulted in a lower mean of total serum bilirubin in the 48 h post-treatment, especially in Asian countries. UDCA administration might be considered an adjuvant therapy in neonatal jaundice, considering that UDCA is safer, cheaper, and more applicable in clinical settings.

Abbreviations

PT: Phototherapy; RCT: Randomized controlled trial; TSB: Total serum bilirubin; UCB: Unconjugated bilirubin; UDCA: Ursodeoxycholic acid.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13052-022-01372-w.

Additional file 1: Supplementary Table 1. PubMed search terms. Supplementary Table 2. Risk of bias for included studies. Supplementary Table 3. GRADE Assessment.

Acknowledgements Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

GL conceived data, wrote the original draft, and performed the primary analysis. JF extracted the data and helped with the writing and analysis. RDR and SRFS helped supervise and review the manuscript. HO reviewed and edited the manuscript and also supervised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

No funding was obtained for this study.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Gastrohepatology Division, Department of Child Health, Faculty of Medicine, Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia.
²Neonatology Division, Department of Child Health, Faculty of Medicine, Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia.
³Clinical Epidemiology and Evidence-Based Unit (CEEBM), Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital - Faculty of Medicine Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia.

Received: 9 March 2022 Accepted: 4 October 2022 Published online: 17 October 2022

References

1. Olusanya BO, Kaplan M, Hansen TWR. Neonatal hyperbilirubinaemia: a global perspective. Lancet Child Adolesc Health. 2018;2(8):610–20.

- Olusanya BO, Teeple S, Kassebaum NJ. The Contribution of Neonatal Jaundice to Global Child Mortality: Findings From the GBD 2016 Study. Pediatrics. 2018;141(2):e20171471.
- Boskabadi H, Ashrafzadeh F, Azarkish F, Khakshour A. Complications of Neonatal Jaundice and the Predisposing Factors in Newborns. J Babol Univ Med Sci. 2015;17:7–13.
- Ullah S, Rahman K, Hedayati M. Hyperbilirubinemia in Neonates: Types, Causes, Clinical Examinations, Preventive Measures and Treatments: A Narrative Review Article. Iran J Public Health. 2016;45(5):558–68.
- American Academy of Pediatrics Subcommittee on Hyperbilirubinemia. Management of hyperbilirubinemia in the newborn infant 35 or more weeks of gestation. Pediatrics. 2004;114(1):297–316.
- Muchowski KE. Evaluation and Treatment of Neonatal Hyperbilirubinemia. Am Fam Physician. 2014;89(11):873–8.
- Guidelines for detection. management and prevention of hyperbilirubinemia in term and late preterm newborn infants (35 or more weeks' gestation) – Summary. Paediatr Child Health. 2007;12(5):401–7.
- Faulhaber FRS, Procianoy RS, Silveira RC. Side Effects of Phototherapy on Neonates. Am J Perinatol. 2019;36(3):252–7.
- Wolf MF, Childers J, Gray KD, Chivily C, Glenn M, Jones L, et al. Exchange transfusion safety and outcomes in neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. J Perinatol. 2020;40(10):1506–12.
- Zhang M, Wang L, Wang Y, Tang J. The influence of massage on neonatal hyperbilirubinemia: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2019;32(18):3109–14.
- Gu J, Zhu Y, Zhao J. The efficacy of intravenous fluid supplementation for neonatal hyperbilirubinemia: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2019;34(21):3580–5.
- 12. Al-Asy HM, El-Sharkawy HM, Mabrouk MM, Hamad MR. Effect of fenofibrate on indirect neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. J Clin Neonatol. 2015;4(2):82–6.
- Faal G, Khatib Masjedi H, Sharifzadeh G, Kiani Z. Efficacy of zinc sulfate on indirect hyperbilirubinemia in premature infants admitted to neonatal intensive care unit: a double-blind, randomized clinical trial. BMC Pediatr. 2020;20(1):1–7.
- Chen HL, Wu SH, Hsu SH, Liou BY, Chen HL, Chang MH. Jaundice revisited: recent advances in the diagnosis and treatment of inherited cholestatic liver diseases. J Biomed Sci. 2018;25(1):1–3.
- Strauss KA, Robinson DL, Vreman HJ, Puffenberger EG, Hart G, Morton DH. Management of hyperbilirubinemia and prevention of kernicterus in 20 patients with Crigler-Najjar disease. Eur J Pediatr. 2006;165(5):306–19.
- Catzola A, Vajro P. Management options for cholestatic liver disease in children. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2017;11(11):1019–30.
- Balistreri WF. Bile Acid Therapy in Pediatric Hepatobiliary Disease: The Role of Ursodeoxycholic Acid. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 1997;24(5):573–89.
- Gamboa A, Tian C, Massaad J, Reshamwala P, Cai Q. The Therapeutic Role of Ursodeoxycholic Acid in Digestive Diseases. 2011;2(1):43–8.
- Zhang Y, Jiang R, Zheng X, Lei S, Huang F, Xie G, et al. Ursodeoxycholic acid accelerates bile acid enterohepatic circulation. Br J Pharmacol. 2019;176(16):2848–63.
- Palmela I, Correia L, Silva RFM, Sasaki H, Kim KS, Brites D, et al. Hydrophilic bile acids protect human blood-brain barrier endothelial cells from disruption by unconjugated bilirubin: an in vitro study. Front Neurosci. 2015;9:80.
- Honar N, Ghashghaei Saadi E, Saki F, Pishva N, Shakibazad N, Hosseini TS. Effect of Ursodeoxycholic Acid on Indirect Hyperbilirubinemia in Neonates Treated With Phototherapy. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2016;62(1):97–100.
- Mirzarahimi M, Barak M, Moghaddam SS, Moghaddam EE. Effect of Ursodeoxycholic Acid (USDA) on Indirect Hyperbilirubinemia in Neonates Treated with Phototherapy. Progress Asp Pediatr Neonatol. 2019;2(3):138–41.
- Page MJ, Moher D, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021;372:n160. Available from: https://www.bmj.com/content/372/bmj.n160. Cited 26 May 2021.
- 24. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. 2nd ed. New York: Wiley; 2019.
- Akefi R, Hashemi SM, Alinejad S, Almasi-Hashiani A. The effect of ursodeoxycholic acid on indirect hyperbilirubinemia in neonates treated with phototherapy: a randomized clinical trial. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2022;35(21):4075–80.

- El-Gendy FM, Bahbah WA, Kafory EESA. Effect of ursodeoxycholic acid on indirect hyperbilirubinemia in neonates treated with phototherapy. Menoufia Med J. 2019;32(3):1059–63.
- Gharehbaghi MM, Sani AM, Refeey M. Evaluating the effects of different doses of ursodeoxycholic acid on neonatal jaundice. Turk J Pediatr. 2020;62(3):424–30.
- Hassan A, Abdulrahman A, Husain R. Effect of Ursodeoxycholic Acid in Lowering Neonatal Indirect Hyperbilirubinemia: A Randomized controlled trial. Merit Res J Med Med Sci. 2015;3(9):402–5.
- Jafari S, Khan KA, Bhatnagar S, Srivastava G, Nanda C, Chandra A. Role of ursodeoxycholic acid in neonates with indirect hyperbilirubinemiaan open labelled randomised control trial. Int J Contemp Pediatr. 2018;5(2):432–5.
- Meena DM, Bhardwaj DS, Gupta DS, Jagrwal DS. Effect of Oral Ursodeoxycholic Acid on Indirect Hyperbilirubinemia In Neonates Treated With Phototherapy At Tertiary Care Centre. Jaipur Eur J Mol Clin Med. 2021;7(11):5738–47.
- Shahramian I, Tabrizian K, Ostadrahimi P, Afshari M, Soleymanifar M, Bazi A. Therapeutic Effects of Ursodeoxycholic Acid in Neonatal Indirect Hyperbilirubinemia: A Randomized Double-blind Clinical Trial. Arch Anesthesiol Crit Care. 2019;5(3):99–103.
- Joel HN, Mchaile DN, Philemon RN, Mbwasi RM, Msuya L. Effectiveness of FIBEROPTIC phototherapy compared to conventional phototherapy in treating HYPERBILIRUBINEMIA amongst term neonates: a randomized controlled trial. BMC Pediatr. 2021;21(1):32.
- Sherbiny HS, Youssef DM, Sherbini AS, El-Behedy R, Sherief LM. Highintensity light-emitting diode vs fluorescent tubes for intensive phototherapy in neonates. Paediatr Int Child Health. 2016;36(2):127–33.
- Gamber AC, Toth EM. Neonatal Hyperbilirubinemia in Low-Income African Countries. Int J Pediatr Res. 2021;7:073.
- Yurdakök M. Phototherapy in the newborn: what's new? J Pediatr Neonatal Individ Med JPNIM. 2015;4(2):e040255–e040255.
- Olusanya BO, Osibanjo FB, Slusher TM. Risk Factors for Severe Neonatal Hyperbilirubinemia in Low and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE. 2015;10(2):e0117229.
- Olusanya BO, Ogunlesi TA, Kumar P, Boo NY, Iskander IF, de Almeida MFB, et al. Management of late-preterm and term infants with hyperbilirubinaemia in resource-constrained settings. BMC Pediatr. 2015;15(1):39.
- Cuperus FJC, Hafkamp AM, Havinga R, Vitek L, Zelenka J, Tiribelli C, et al. Effective treatment of unconjugated hyperbilirubinemia with oral bile salts in Gunn rats. Gastroenterology. 2009;136(2):673–82.
- Tiribelli C, Ostrow JD. Intestinal flora and bilirubin. J Hepatol. 2005;42(2):170–2.
- Vítek L, Carey MC. Enterohepatic cycling of bilirubin as a cause of "black" pigment gallstones in adult life. Eur J Clin Invest. 2003;33(9):799–810.
- Preer GL, Philipp BL. Understanding and managing breast milk jaundice. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2011;96(6):F461–6.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

